IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

ORDINARY ORIGINAL CIVIL JURISDICTION

**CONTEMPT PETITION NO.40 OF 2005**

IN

WRIT PETITION NO. 2839 OF 2001

SUTEJA SURESH MATHKAR ... PETITIONER.

VS.

SHESHRAO SANGLE & ORS. ... RESPONDENTS.

SMITA THATTE FOR THE PETITIONER.

MR. MILIND MORE AGP FOR RESPONDENT NO.1.

MR. J.S.KINI FORRESPONDENT NO.2 TO 4.

**Coram : S.K.Shah, J.**

**Date : February 10, 2006.**

P.C.

1. The Counsel on both sides unanimously submit that as directed by this Court, the parties had appeared before the Respondent No. 1 and the Respondent No. 1 complied with the directions by passing the Order. As such, nothing survives in the contempt petition. The contempt petition stands disposed of.

2. The learned Counsel for the Petitioner submits that the Petitioner has also filed Chamber Summons No. 5 of 2006. In view of the disposal of the contempt petition itself, the Chamber Summons does not survive and the same stands disposed of.

....

[S.K.Shah , J.]